

Implementing Deep Inference

24.11.04, LORIA, Nancy

Ozan Kahramanoğulları

Institut für Informatik, Universität Leipzig
International Center for Computational Logic, TU Dresden

ozan@informatik.uni-leipzig.de

Outline

- Motivation
- The Calculus of Structures & System BV
- From Derivations to Rewritings
- The Implementation
- Tuning the Performance: System BV_n & System BV_u
- Performance Comparison
- Conclusion and Future Work

Motivation:

- **general recipe** for implementing deductive systems with deep inference
- **tools** for developing the proof theory of different logics
- **implementing logic BV**: a self-dual, non-commutative operator
 - Process Algebra:
 a, b processes : $a.b \neq b.a$
CCS vs. BV [Bruscoli, 02]
 - Planning:
 $\langle \textit{openDoor} ; \textit{enterRoom} \rangle \neq \langle \textit{enterRoom} ; \textit{openDoor} \rangle$
Conjunctive Planning Problems [K, AIA'05]
 - (Natural Language Processing)

The Calculus of Structures & System BV

- [Guglielmi, 99] proof theoretical formalism, generalization of the one-sided sequent calculus
- **Deep inference:** Rules can be applied deep inside a structure.

$$\rho \frac{S\{R\}}{S\{T\}}$$

- **System BV:**
MLL + *mix* + *mix0* + a non-commutative, self-dual operator

- **BV structures:**

$$S ::= \circ \mid a \mid \underbrace{[S, \dots, S]}_{>0} \mid \underbrace{(S, \dots, S)}_{>0} \mid \underbrace{\langle S; \dots; S \rangle}_{>0} \mid \bar{S}$$

- Structures are considered **equivalent modulo** an equational theory.

Syntactic Equivalence of BV Structures

Associativity

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{R}, [\vec{T}]] &= [\vec{R}, \vec{T}] \\ (\vec{R}, (\vec{T})) &= (\vec{R}, \vec{T}) \\ \langle\langle \vec{R} \rangle; \langle \vec{T} \rangle\rangle &= \langle \vec{R}; \vec{T} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Commutativity

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{R}, \vec{T}] &= [\vec{T}, \vec{R}] \\ (\vec{R}, \vec{T}) &= (\vec{T}, \vec{R}) \end{aligned}$$

Unit

$$\begin{aligned} (\circ, R) &= R & \langle \circ; R \rangle &= R \\ [\circ, R] &= R & \langle R; \circ \rangle &= R \end{aligned}$$

Context Closure

$$\text{if } R = T \text{ then } \begin{aligned} S\{R\} &= S\{T\} \\ \bar{R} &= \bar{T} \end{aligned}$$

Negation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\circ} &= \circ \\ \overline{[R, T]} &= (\bar{R}, \bar{T}) \\ \overline{(R, T)} &= [\bar{R}, \bar{T}] \\ \overline{\langle R; T \rangle} &= \langle \bar{R}; \bar{T} \rangle \\ \bar{\bar{R}} &= R \end{aligned}$$

System BV

$$\begin{array}{c} \circ \downarrow \\ \hline \circ \end{array} \quad \text{ai} \downarrow \frac{S\{\circ\}}{S[a, \bar{a}]} \quad \text{s} \frac{S([R, U], T)}{S[(R, T), U]} \quad \text{q} \downarrow \frac{S\langle [R, U]; [T, V] \rangle}{S[\langle R; T \rangle, \langle U; V \rangle]}$$

From Derivations to Rewritings

(1.) Explicit equality steps

Example:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \frac{s \frac{[(c, [\bar{b}, b]), \bar{c}]}{[b, (\bar{b}, c), \bar{c}]}}{\quad} & \rightsquigarrow & \frac{\frac{[(c, [\bar{b}, b]), \bar{c}]}{[(\bar{b}, b), c], \bar{c}]}{[(\bar{b}, c), b], \bar{c}}}{[b, (\bar{b}, c), \bar{c}]}
 \end{array}$$

(2.) From n-ary operators to binary operators

Example: $n22([\bar{a}, b, (a, c)]) = [\bar{a}, [b, (a, c)]]$

(3.) From structures to terms

$$\Sigma = \{ \circ, \bar{-}, \langle -; - \rangle, [-, -], (-, -) \} \cup \{ a \mid a \text{ is a positive atom} \}$$

From Derivations to Rewritings

(4.) From inference rules to rewrite rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbf{E} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
 \textit{Associativity} & \textit{Commutativity} & \textit{Unit} \\
 \langle R; \langle S; T \rangle \rangle \approx \langle \langle R; S \rangle; T \rangle , & [R, T] \approx [T, R] , & \langle \circ; R \rangle \approx R , \\
 [R, [S, T]] \approx [[R, S], T] , & (R, T) \approx (T, R) , & \langle R; \circ \rangle \approx R , \\
 (R, (S, T)) \approx ((R, S), T) , & & [\circ, R] \approx R , \\
 & & (\circ, R) \approx R
 \end{array} \right\} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{ai}\downarrow : [a, \bar{a}] & \rightarrow & \circ \\
 \mathbf{s} : [(R, T), U] & \rightarrow & ([R, U], T) \\
 \mathbf{q}\downarrow : [\langle R; R' \rangle, \langle T; T' \rangle] & \rightarrow & \langle [R, T]; [R', T'] \rangle
 \end{array} \right\}
 \end{array}$$

Orienting the Equalities for Negation

Definition: A Σ -term s is in **negation normal form** iff the negation is pushed to the leaves (atoms) and no unit \circ appears in it.

$$\mathcal{R}_{Neg} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\circ} \rightarrow \circ, \\ \overline{\langle R; T \rangle} \rightarrow \langle \bar{R}; \bar{T} \rangle, \\ \overline{[R, T]} \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{T}), \\ \overline{(R, T)} \rightarrow [\bar{R}, \bar{T}], \\ \bar{\bar{R}} \rightarrow R \end{array} \right\}$$

Lemma: Term rewriting systems \mathcal{R}_{Neg} is **terminating** and **confluent**.

Lemma: For Σ -term s , the normal form of s with respect to \mathcal{R}_{Neg} is in **negation normal form**.

From Derivations to Rewritings

$$s \rightarrow_{R/E} t \quad \text{iff} \quad (\exists s', t') s \approx_E s' \rightarrow_R t' \approx_E t$$

Example:

$$s \frac{[b, (c, [a, \bar{a}])]}{[\bar{a}, b, (a, c)]}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow [\bar{a}, [b, (a, c)]] \approx_E$$

$$[b, [(a, c), \bar{a}]] \rightarrow_R [b, ([a, \bar{a}], c)]$$

$$\approx_E [b, (c, [a, \bar{a}])]$$

Proposition: Let s and t be two Σ -terms or structures, where t is in negation normal form.

There is a **derivation** in BV from s to t having **length** n iff there exists a rewriting $s \xrightarrow{*}_{R_{Neg}} s' \xrightarrow{n}_{R/E} t$.

Implementation in Maude

- Systems in the calculus of structures can be expressed as term rewriting systems modulo equational theories.
[K, Hölldobler, TR-04]
- Language Maude allows implementing term rewriting systems modulo associativity, commutativity and unit(s).
[K, ESSLLI'04]
- Since Maude 2 breadth-first search is available.

Maude Module for System BV

```
mod BV is
  sorts Atom Unit Structure .
  subsort Atom < Structure .
  subsort Unit < Structure .

  op o : -> Unit .
  op -_ : Atom -> Atom [ prec 50 ] .
  op [_,_] : Structure Structure -> Structure [assoc comm id: o] .
  op {_,_} : Structure Structure -> Structure [assoc comm id: o] .
  op <_;> : Structure Structure -> Structure [assoc id: o] .
  ops a b c d e : -> Atom .

  var R T U V : Structure .      var A : Atom .

  rl [ai-down] : [ A , - A ]      => o .
  rl [s]       : [ { R , T } , U ] => { [ R , U ] , T } .
  rl [q-down]  : [ < R ; T > , < U ; V > ] => < [R,U] ; [T,V] > .
endm
```

Implementation in Maude

```
Maude> search [- c,[< a ; {c,- b} >,< - a ; b >]] =>+ o .
```

```
search in BV : [- c,[< a ; {c,- b} >,< - a ; b >]] =>+ o .
```

```
Solution 1 (state 2229)
```

```
states: 2230  rewrites: 196866 in 930ms cpu (950ms real) (211683  
  rewrites/second)
```

```
empty substitution
```

```
No more solutions.
```

```
states: 2438  rewrites: 306179 in 1460ms cpu (1490ms real) (209711  
  rewrites/second)
```

- Units cause **redundant** applications of the inference rules which do not lead to a proof.

Removing the Units: Some Definitions

A structure is in *unit normal form* when the only negated structures appearing in it are atoms and no unit \circ appears in it.

Example: $\overline{\langle [b, (\bar{a}, c), \circ, \bar{c}]; a \rangle} = \langle ([a, \bar{c}], \bar{b}, c); \bar{a} \rangle$

Two systems \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are *equivalent* if for every **proof** of a structure T in system \mathcal{S} , there exists a **proof** of T in system \mathcal{S}' , and vice versa.

System BV \rightsquigarrow System BVn

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\circ, R] = R & & \mathbf{u}_1 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S[R, \circ]} & \mathbf{u}_2 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S(R, \circ)} \\
 (\circ, R) = R & & & \\
 \langle \circ; R \rangle = R & \rightsquigarrow & & \\
 \langle R; \circ \rangle = R & & \mathbf{u}_3 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S\langle R; \circ \rangle} & \mathbf{u}_4 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S\langle \circ; R \rangle}
 \end{array}$$

Proposition: Every BV structure S can be transformed to one of its **unit normal forms** S' by applying only the rules $\{\mathbf{u}_1 \downarrow, \mathbf{u}_2 \downarrow, \mathbf{u}_3 \downarrow, \mathbf{u}_4 \downarrow\}$ bottom-up and the equalities for negation from left to right.

System BV \rightsquigarrow System BVn

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{s} \frac{S([R, T], U)}{S[(R, U), T]} & \rightsquigarrow & \mathbf{s}_1 \frac{S([R, T], U)}{S[(R, U), T]} \quad \mathbf{s}_2 \frac{S(R, T)}{S[R, T]} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{q} \downarrow \frac{S\langle [R, T]; [U, V] \rangle}{S[\langle R; U \rangle, \langle T; V \rangle]} & \rightsquigarrow & \mathbf{q}_1 \downarrow \frac{S\langle [R, T]; [U, V] \rangle}{S[\langle R; U \rangle, \langle T; V \rangle]} \quad \mathbf{q}_2 \downarrow \frac{S\langle R; T \rangle}{S[R, T]} \\
 \\
 & & \mathbf{q}_3 \downarrow \frac{S\langle [R, T]; U \rangle}{S[R, \langle T; U \rangle]} \quad \mathbf{q}_4 \downarrow \frac{S\langle T; [R, U] \rangle}{S[R, \langle T; U \rangle]}
 \end{array}$$

Proposition: The rules $\mathbf{q}_1 \downarrow$, $\mathbf{q}_2 \downarrow$, $\mathbf{q}_3 \downarrow$, $\mathbf{q}_4 \downarrow$, \mathbf{s}_1 , and \mathbf{s}_2 are **sound** (derivable) for system BV.

System BVn

Theorem: For every derivation $\frac{W}{Q} \parallel \text{BV}$ there exists a derivation $\frac{W'}{Q} \parallel \text{BVn}$

where W' is a unit normal form of the structure W .

Corollary: System BV and system BVn are equivalent.

System BVn \rightsquigarrow System BVu

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{ai} \downarrow \frac{S\{\circ\}}{S[a, \bar{a}]} & & \\
 \mathbf{u}_1 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S[R, \circ]} & \mathbf{u}_2 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S(R, \circ)} & \rightsquigarrow \\
 \mathbf{u}_3 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S\langle R; \circ \rangle} & \mathbf{u}_4 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S\langle \circ; R \rangle} & \\
 \mathbf{ai}_1 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S[R, [a, \bar{a}]]} & \mathbf{ai}_2 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S(R, [a, \bar{a}])} & \\
 \mathbf{ai}_3 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S\langle R; [a, \bar{a}] \rangle} & \mathbf{ai}_4 \downarrow \frac{S\{R\}}{S\langle [a, \bar{a}]; R \rangle} & \\
 \mathbf{o} \downarrow \frac{\quad}{\circ} & \rightsquigarrow & \mathbf{ax} \frac{\quad}{[a, \bar{a}]}
 \end{array}$$

Corollary: System BV and system BVu are **equivalent**.

Performance Comparison

Example (proof search): $[- c, [< a ; \{c, - b\} >, < - a ; b >]]$

	finds a proof		search terminates	
	in # millisec.	after # rewrites	in # millisec.	after # rewrites
BV	950	196866	1490	306179
BV _n	120	12610	120	12720
BV _u	10	1416	60	4691

Proposition: If a structure R in unit normal form with n occurrences of positive atoms has a proof in BV_n with length k , then R has a proof in BV_u with length $k - n$.

Conclusion

- System BV (and other systems in the calculus of structures) can be expressed as term rewriting systems modulo equational theories which can be implemented in Maude.
- **BV_n, BV_u** : systems equivalent to BV where equalities for unit become redundant. [K, ISCIS'04]
- These systems provide a **better performance** in proof search.
- These results can be analogously applied to **other systems** in the calculus of structures.
- Implementations are available for download at http://www.informatik.uni-leipzig.de/~ozan/maude_cos.html .

Future Work

- Using the expressive power of **TOM & Java** for implementing efficient search strategies.
- Applying **local search techniques** for more efficient proof search.
- Developing **proof theoretical strategies**, e.g., splitting theorem, together with other methods attached to rewriting calculus.